7 December 2022 Planning Committee – Additional Representations

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
Item A N/A	Hove Western Lawns and Hove Lagoon Kingsway Hove BN3 4FA	BH2022/02830	 Statement on Site Access for Cyclists submitted by applicant dated 01/12/2022. The statement provides clarity and confirms the following: The bye-law that prevents cyclists from using the esplanade and north-south cross-routes does not apply to the parks spaces themselves, therefore is not strictly applicable to the proposed accessible route, or any other routes within the park. However, access to these routes cannot be gained without first crossing either the esplanade or a cross-route, so cycling within the new park should not occur. All cycle parking provided will be located at the immediate north ends of the cross-routes off Kingsway, where they are adjacent to the A259 cycle route. It is expected that cyclists will dismount at the site access points where there is ground and post signage indicating no cycling, and walk their cycles to the cycle parking.
			Officer response: It is considered that the proposals would not result in significant risk of conflict on any park routes between pedestrians and cyclists. Given the existing arrangements with cyclists using the area outside of the site (A259 cycle route), and the bye-law that prevents cyclists from using the Esplanade and cross-routes, it is expected that any unauthorised cyclist use of the park would be similar to other public park spaces that operate in a similar way. Condition 24 (Design of internal streets and spaces) requires details to be submitted of lining and signing to manage cycle access.
25			 Amendment to Condition 21: 21. Prior to first public re-use of the Sunken Garden (Phase C1), a landscape and ecological management plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. The content of the LEMP shall include the following: a) description and evaluation of features to be managed;

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
			 b) ecological trends and constraints on site that might influence management; c) aims and objectives of management; d) appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives; e) prescriptions for management actions, together with a plan of management compartments; f) preparation of a work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a five-year period; g) details of the body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan; h) ongoing monitoring and remedial measures. The LEMP shall also include details of the legal and funding mechanism(s) by which the long-term implementation of the plan will be secured by the developer with the management body(ies) responsible for its delivery. The plans shall also set out (where the results from monitoring show that conservation aims and objectives of the LEMP are not being met) how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme. The approved plan will be implemented in accordance with the approved details. Reason: Biological communities are constantly changing and require positive management to maintain their conservation value. The implementation of a LEMP will ensure the long term management of habitats, species and other biodiversity features.
30			Additional Informative: 11. The enabling works and phasing areas of the site referred to in the conditions are identified within the submitted 'Kingsway to the Sea Phasing and Logistics' document received on 24 November 2022.
			County Ecologist Further comments:

Ν

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
37			The majority of the site is sub-optimal for reptiles, with a small undisturbed area around the tennis courts offering elevated potential. However, the risk of reptiles being present anywhere on site, even in this more suitable area around the tennis courts, is reduced by the isolation of the habitat. The risk of reptiles being present in the initial clearance areas is so low, therefore the condition that requires the method statement could be for that specific area/phase only.
18			Officer response:Amendment to Condition 3:3.No development to Phase B (Tennis and Padel Tennis)a method statement for the protection of reptiles has been submitted to andapproved in writing by the local planning authority. The content of the methodstatement shall include the:a)purpose and objectives for the proposed works;
			 b) detailed design(s) and/or working method(s) necessary to achieve stated objectives (including, where relevant, type and source of materials to be used); c) extent and location of proposed works shown on appropriate scale maps and plans;
			 d) timetable for implementation, demonstrating that works are aligned with the proposed phasing of construction; e) persons responsible for implementing the works; f) initial aftercare and long-term maintenance (where relevant); g) disposal of any wastes arising from the works.
			The works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and shall be retained in that manner thereafter. Reason: To protect habitats and species identified in the ecological surveys from adverse impacts during construction and to avoid an offence under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, as amended.
			Additional Informative:

ω

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment				
30			12. To avoid an offence under and The Conservation of Hal protected species are enco- immediately, and advice sho qualified ecologist.	bitats and Species Reg ountered during devel	ulations 20 opment, w	17, as amended, if ork should cease	
			Additional drawing (KTTS- amendment to proposed Ter admin/office window on south <u>Officer response:</u> Further details of the exterior of	nnis Pavilion, to include elevation to allow for kic	e a sliding osk use.	window function to	
Item B	35 - 36 Egremont Place Brighton, BN2 0GB	BH2022/02167	Condition 2 – plans added:				
P69			Plan Type	Reference	Version	Date Received	
			Location and block plan	ESH01-MAA-00- XX-DR-A-1001	P02	14 July 2022	
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-02- XX-DR-A-1003	P02	4 July 2022	
				Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-01- XX-DG-A-2001	P01	4 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-03- XX-DR-A-2001	P02	4 July 2022	
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-03- XX-DR-A-2002	P01	4 July 2022	
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-03- XX-DR-A-2003	P01	4 July 2022	
				Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04-	P01	4 July 2022

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment			
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04- XX-DG-A-2003	P01	4 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04- XX-DG-A-2004	P02	14 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-05- XX-DR-A-2005	P01	4 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-05- XX-DR-A-2007	P01	4 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-XX- DR-A-2006	P02	4 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-XX- DR-A-2009	P01	4 July 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04- XX-DG-A-2005	P00	14 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Affordable Homes Statement		14 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Accommodation Schedule		4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan		4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Daylight, Sunlight and Overshadowing Report		4 July 2022

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment		
			Report/Statement	Biodiversity Checklist	4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Demolition and Construction Management and Logistics Plan	4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Energy and Sustainability Statement	4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Flood Risk Assessment	4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Heritage Statement	4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Preliminary Ecological Appraisal	4 July 2022
			Report/Statement	Transport Statement	4 July 2022
			Existing Drawing	Second Floor P03 existing	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-03- XX-DR-A-2005	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04- P02 XX-DG-A-0-200 -	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04- P01 XX-DG-A-0-2005	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-04- P02 XX-DG-A-2002	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-05- P02 XX-DR-A-2005	7 October 2022

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment		
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-05- P02 XX-DR-A-2007	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	ESH01-MAA-05- P02 XX-DR-A-2009	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	Landscape masterplan	7 October 2022
			Proposed Drawing	Landscape proposal	7 October 2022
			Report/Statement	Design and Access Statement amended	17 October 2022
P85			Paragraph 9.12 – correction of fi "A mix of residential units is prop 1-bed, 9 x 2-bed and 5 x 3-bed) would broadly comply with Policy set out in its supporting text. The x 2- bed and 4 x 3-bed units) als Policy CP20, although with a rela- the request of the Housing Team	osed comprising 21 flats (84%) and 4 houses (16%) (3, 4 and 5 CP19 and the demand/need for mix of affordable units (<u>2 x stud</u> o broadly complies with the pref atively higher proportion of 3-bea	bed) which or housing sizes <u>dio flats</u> , 2 x 1-bed, 2 erred mix set out in d units. This was at
Item E	23 Brooker Street	BH2022/02465	Corrected wording: 9.7. The proposal has an existing outrigger to the rear which appears to be original XXXXXXX (i.e. for the purposes of this policy, as built on 1 July 1948 based on the available information to date, including historic street plans) and is included in the original floorspace of the building. The original floor area has been sufficiently demonstrated to be in excess of 120m2 and therefore meets criterion a.		uilt on 1 July 1948, street plans) and is floor area has been

 $\overline{}$

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment	
			Officer note: additional information by way of historic plans have been submitted (on 2 December) which is currently being considered, with the outcome presented verbally at the Committee meeting.	
Item G P207	7 Meadow Close	BH2022/02278	 Two late representations have been received from local residents on the 23rd & 24th November, objecting and raising the following concerns: Inappropriate height of development Noise Overdevelopment Poor design Too close to the boundary Impact to residential amenity Concerns that new plans have been submitted and that public consultation should be re-made. Officer response: revised plans have been submitted showing the existing floorplan of the outbuilding, to clarify the existing use of the outbuilding is temporary while renovation works are being completed to the main dwelling. No further consultation was considered necessary. 	